

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N | О.                       | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/791,194    |                          | 03/02/2004  | Frank L. Hall        | 4718.2US (00-0316.02/US) | 1948            |
| 24247         | 7590                     | 03/17/2005  |                      | EXAMINER                 |                 |
| TRASK BRITT   |                          |             |                      | HEINRICH, SAMUEL M       |                 |
| P.O. BOX 2550 |                          | IIT 94110   | •                    | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER    |
| SALILA        | SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110 |             |                      | 1725                     |                 |
|               |                          |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005  |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No. Applicant(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 10/791,194 HALL, FRANK L.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Samuel M Heinrich 1725  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 December 2004</u> .   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2004</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | , |  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 5,104,480 to Wojnarowski et al for the same reasons as set forth in the last Office action. Wojnarowski et al describe (column 5, lines 40-58) removal of material from a surface with a laser. Some precision method of locating the material removal boundaries is required and referring to this locating step as "scanning the substrate" is not novel. Wojnarowski et al further describe the greatly enhanced adhesion of the roughened surface of the substrate in the same paragraph.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 4,894,115 to Eichelberger et al. Eichelberger et al describe (column 6, lines 4-12) roughening a surface which removes particles, cleans, roughens, and enhances adhesion.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 28, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art, Wojnarowski et al and Eichelberger et al, does not describe the instant claimed scanning and roughening processes. This argument is not persuasive. The newly claimed "scanning the

substrate for irregularities for removal" is inherent in the prior art in order to determine the boundaries of the material removal process step. The prior art roughening of the surface will inherently include romoval of the irregularities. The end result of the ablation processes is a surface which is both cleared of irregularities and roughened.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Badalec et al, Tandy et al, and Brodsky describe laser texturing and subsequently bonding.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M Heinrich whose telephone number is 703 308 1168. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,194

Art Unit: 1725

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G Dunn can be reached on 703 308 3318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel M. Hemuch March 11, 2005

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725